

Note: This provisional PDF corresponds to the article as it appeared upon acceptance, prior to any copyediting. The final, formatted and edited version will soon be made available in PDF and full text (HTML) formats.

Ecological approaches to informing public-health policy and risk assessments on emerging vector-borne zoonoses

Emerging Health Threats Journal 2009, **3**:e1 doi: 10.3134/ehtj.10.001

Jolyon M Medlock (jolyon.medlock@hpa.org.uk)
Lisa J Jameson

ISSN 1471-2180

Article type Review

Received 25 March 2009

Revised 27 November 2009

Accepted 01 December 2009

© 2010 JM Medlock and LJ Jameson; licensee Emerging Health Threats Journal.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Title: Ecological approaches to informing public-health policy and risk assessments on emerging vector-borne zoonoses

Authors: Jolyon M Medlock* & Lisa J Jameson

Address: Medical Entomology & Zoonoses Ecology group, Microbial Risk Assessment, Health Protection Agency, Porton Down, Salisbury, UK.

* corresponding author: jolyon.medlock@hpa.org.uk

Word Count: 4255

Short title/Running head: Ecology of vector-borne zoonoses

Disclaimer: The opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Health Protection Agency.

Abstract

Pathogens associated with vector-borne zoonoses occur in enzootic cycles within nature. They are driven by a combination of vertebrate host and invertebrate vector population dynamics, which in turn respond to changes in environmental stimuli. Human involvement in these cycles, and hence the occurrence of human disease, is often to act as incidental hosts. From a public health perspective our ability to better predict human outbreaks of these diseases and prepare intervention and mitigation strategies relies upon understanding the natural cycle of pathogen transmission. This requires consideration of for example, invertebrate and vertebrate ecology and biology, climatology, land use and habitat change. Collectively these can be referred to as medical entomology and medical ecology. This paper reviews the importance for inclusion of such disciplines when assessing the public health risk from vector-borne zoonoses and summarises the possible future challenges and driving forces for changes in vector status and vector-borne zoonoses emergence, with a particular focus on a UK and European context.

Key words: ecology, vector-borne, risk assessment, Europe, UK, mosquitoes, ticks, vectors, zoonoses

Introduction

UK Public health risk assessment process

When preparing for and responding to emerging diseases, government officials and scientists are expected to make rapid assessments of risk and inform policy decisions through expert steering groups. Infections such as influenza, SARS, chikungunya and West Nile virus have tested our abilities to predict epidemics and provide timely, well-informed advice to policy decision makers.

Since 2003 the Human Animal Infections Risk Surveillance (HAIRS) group, coordinated by the Health Protection Agency (HPA), has provided expert advice on emerging infections to the UK Chief Medical Officer's National Expert Panel on New and Emerging Infections (NEPNEI). This group draws in experts largely from the medical and veterinary sectors across the UK¹ and assesses the risks posed to UK public health by potential emerging infectious diseases threats using a published methodology.² The Medical Entomology and Zoonoses Ecology (MEZE) group at HPA are members of HAIRS, providing input to discussions primarily on vector-borne zoonoses (VBZ). When considering the possible risks of infections that essentially occur within nature (whether it be wildlife, or an invertebrate vector), various considerations are required that may be crucial to the final assessment of public health risk.

The role of Medical Ecology & Medical Entomology in informing risk assessments and policy

A large proportion of emerging human pathogens have a zoonotic source and many of these have wildlife origins or are transmitted via arthropod vectors.³⁻⁵ Given the prospects of climate change, coupled with large-scale ecological and agricultural change, the dynamics of these pathogens will ebb and flow and we are already beginning to see signs of this in Europe.⁶⁻¹⁴ With increasing global travel and trade the geographic distributions of key vectors and their pathogens are equally dynamic.¹⁵⁻¹⁹

Such pathogens occur in enzootic cycles within nature, with humans often acting as incidental hosts. To fully understand and to better predict the dynamics of associated human disease outbreaks, an intimate knowledge of their ecology and transmission cycle is required. Without such an understanding the ability for public health bodies to prepare, react and mitigate for these pathogens is severely hindered. An ecological perspective to the risk assessment process can quickly shed light on what appears initially as an unpredictable complex disease dynamic. In contrast it can also complicate a seemingly simple disease formula. It may also provide greater opportunities to disrupt the cycle at its weakest point, which in turn can act to reduce or eliminate the public health burden.

This review does not aim to cover all components for disease emergence such as clinical, virological, bacteriological or immunological changes; although the authors respect such drivers have equal importance. This paper will purely review where an ecological/entomological input has been required by MEZE in assessing VBZ risk and, using examples elsewhere in Europe, provide an overview of other ecologically driven disease transmission scenarios that have relevance to informing policy decisions and risk assessment on VBZ; concluding with some discussion of what challenges might arise in the UK over the next 50 years.

No ethical approval for this paper was required; an in-depth review of the literature was performed using all relevant VBZ key words in PubMed. Key words included: vector-borne, arboviruses, zoonoses, wildlife zoonoses, mosquito, tick, *Phlebotomus*, Europe, Hantavirus, *Dirofilaria*, West Nile, Sindbis, Tahyna, Tick-borne encephalitis, Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever, chikungunya, *Aedes albopictus*, invasive mosquitoes, *Ixodes*, *Dermacentor*, *Hyalomma*, *Echinococcus multilocularis*, *Aedes vexans*, *Culex pipiens*, *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*, malaria, disease ecology, mosquitoes and wetlands.

Vector-borne diseases in 21st century Europe

Since the turn of the century, Europe has witnessed unexpected outbreaks of bluetongue virus (BTV) in northern countries,²⁰ the continued spread and establishment of the exotic mosquito *Aedes albopictus* to most of the Mediterranean countries,²¹ and the emergence of chikungunya virus (CHIKV) in Italy.²²⁻²³ Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus (CCHFV) and its *Hyalomma* tick vector have also emerged in parts of Turkey, and for the first time caused clinical disease in humans in both Turkey and Greece.²⁴⁻²⁵ New foci of Tick-borne encephalitis virus (TBEV) have been reported further north in Scandinavia.²⁶ Usutu virus (USUV) has emerged in Austria,²⁷ Hungary²⁸ and Spain²⁹ and outbreaks of West Nile virus (WNV) continue to appear in France,³⁰ Hungary,³¹ and Romania³² and emerge in Italy.³³ One of our commonest vector-borne diseases, Lyme borreliosis, has also seen an increase in incidence rates between 2001-2005 in many European countries, with some rates increasing two or three-fold.³⁴

The arrival and expansion of BTV, CHIKV, and USUV raises questions as to which pathogens and/or vectors will next appear in Europe. Will we see the movement of Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV) from Africa and the Arabian Peninsula? Will CCHFV expand to match the distribution of its vector? Will *Aedes aegypti*, the principal vector of dengue (DENV), spread from Madeira to mainland Portugal and beyond? Looking further ahead perhaps will we see the re-emergence of malaria and DENV to previously endemic zones in the Mediterranean basin (e.g., malaria occurred in Italy and large outbreaks of DENV occurred in Greece, although the latter will be dependent on the spread of invasive *Aedes*)? The latter few might seem fanciful, but we should not be complacent. If we learn any lesson from the past it is to expect, and prepare, for the unexpected.

Developing a base-line distribution of invertebrate vectors

A crucial component to any risk assessment on VBZ is knowledge of the distribution and abundance of potential vector species. Without this base-line data it is impossible to assess whether a 'new finding' of an unusual or exotic species is significant. It is not generally considered to be cost-effective to have long-term nationwide surveillance programmes for all potential vectors, particularly when they do not currently transmit disease. Occasionally there are funded programmes that monitor species abundance at a number of key sites across a country and this data is important, however it tells us very little about the nationwide distribution of vector species. It is also of limited use in the development of predictive distribution maps, unless the survey locations are both numerous and reflect the sufficient diversity of ecozones. Recently, in response to the outbreak of CHIKV in Italy, ECDC funded a project to map the European distribution of *Aedes albopictus*. In less than three months, and following concerted European-wide collaboration between entomologists, a map now exists for this exotic mosquito species across >20 countries. This has facilitated predictive mapping of their current distribution and the potential consequences of climate change.³⁵

Without substantive and ongoing funded programmes to map vector species, the sustainable and affordable option is to capitalise on and engage the volunteer recording network. In the UK, naturalists and scientists have been recording species occurrence since the 18th century, with 15 million records of 12000 species currently held by the Biological Records Centre³⁶. Of course much of this data relates to non-vector species of biodiversity interest, but it illustrates the potential of thousands of invertebrate recorders willing to submit data. In 2005 MEZE established a tick recording scheme,³⁷ and has now received >2000 records and >3500 ticks from across Britain.³⁸ Added to 4500 historical records, this provides our best dataset for ticks, and is now being used to facilitate geo-spatial mapping. A similar initiative for mosquitoes has also been running since 2005.³⁹

Although this kind of data is often regarded as ‘ad-hoc’ and unsystematic, it informs public and veterinary health authorities where nuisance biting vectors occur, provides an early warning system for exotic or expanding species and provides a bank of samples for pathogen analysis. Furthermore it is very cost effective only requiring the time of the scheme coordinators to perform and validate identifications.

Understanding vector behaviour and classification

For non-medical entomologists a list of potential vector species and their distribution can be misleading without specific knowledge on their biology, ecology, classification and vector competence. Recent examples of this relate to potential mosquito vectors of WNV and RVFV. During the outbreak of WNV in North America, *Culex pipiens* was quickly implicated as a key vector in the eastern states.⁴⁰ In the UK it exists as two separate forms, an exclusive ornithophilic *pipiens* form, and predominantly mammalophilic (also partly ornithophilic) *molestus* form.⁴¹ These two forms adopt hugely different behaviour and aquatic habitats and do not, as far as we are aware, hybridise. In the USA, however they do and some studies have found that 40% of *Culex pipiens* are hybrids and consequently feed on both birds and humans, thus driving the transmission cycle.⁴² In the UK then, whilst our *pipiens* form might be an important enzootic vector, it is unlikely to be a human vector despite its synanthropic container breeding habits and its propensity for over-wintering in the cool recesses of houses.⁴³ The status of the British forms of this mosquito are still a topic of much debate in DNA barcoding circles.

Similarly, one of the primary vectors of RVFV is *Aedes vexans* which also exists as a species complex including subspecies *vexans* and *arabiensis*; for example, *Aedes vexans arabiensis* is an important vector in Senegal and Saudi Arabia. *Aedes vexans* occurs also in parts of western Europe⁴⁴⁻⁴⁵ and in a recent study involving MEZE in Epping Forest was found to cause nuisance biting close to the London Olympics village site.⁴⁶ Should this be a concern, and should we assess its taxonomy and vector competence?

A number of studies, including those by MEZE on WNV and SINV, have taken an entomological perspective to risk assessment, by reviewing the status, behaviour and distribution of putative vector species.^{43,47-49} This rapidly identifies which species could act as vectors, their likely aquatic breeding sites (and hence synanthropic/sylvatic issues) and, if known, their biting behaviour. Often important data is lacking, particularly information on the host preferences (e.g. bird- or horse-biting) of certain vector species, which can be significant in understanding transmission cycles and disease risk. Such reviews also help to target research gaps for field entomology and ecology and to identify key species for vector competence studies and viral isolations.

Vertebrate host dynamics and vector-borne infections

Knowledge of the role of vertebrate species as reservoirs or amplifying species can rapidly affect the level of risk attributed to an emerging disease. Even with an abundant vector population, the absence of key vertebrate hosts for all or part of the year is significant. Studies from Sweden and Finland on Sindbis virus (SINV; the cause of Ockelbo & Pogosta disease in humans) illustrate this point nicely.⁵⁰⁻⁵¹ SINV exists within a bird-mosquito cycle. In Sweden it cycles between passerines and *Culex* mosquitoes, with *Aedes* providing the link to humans,⁵²⁻⁵⁴ and in Finland vertebrate hosts are tetraonid birds.⁵⁵ Following reports of antibodies to this virus in the UK⁵⁶ where all key putative mosquito vectors occur,⁴³ concerns were raised over possible endemic transmission, and an ecological assessment was performed by MEZE.⁴⁸

This work concluded that particular tetraonid bird species (*Tetrao urogallus*, *Tetrao tetrao*) that amplify the virus in Finland⁵⁴ also occur in Britain, but only in a few Scottish forest and moorland sites. Both species are rare and consequently unlikely to be important in amplifying the virus or contributing significantly to virus cycles in birds. Given the ubiquity of passerines in the UK however, and their general role as reservoirs, suggested that perhaps a lack of reservoir hosts might not be a limiting factor in transmission. However Swedish studies found that human outbreaks of Ockelbo disease appeared to be linked to the occurrence of certain migratory thrush species.^{55,57} Redwing (*Turdus iliacus*), fieldfare (*Turdus pilaris*) and song thrush (*Turdus philomelos*) were found to have high prevalence rates for virus and were implicated as key amplifying hosts. These species breed in Sweden in the summer and have large numbers of fledglings during the main peak in mosquito activity. In autumn redwing and fieldfare fly south to spend the winter in Britain, usually after the peak in mosquitoes, and hence are unlikely to contribute significantly to virus amplification. An understanding of the role of different hosts and bird life histories can significantly change our perception of disease risk.

Impact of weather and climate on vector, host and pathogen dynamics

Temperature dependent distribution and seasonal activity of vectors

Many aspects of ecological systems are affected by climatic changes, particularly the effect of temperature. The expected change in summer and winter temperature as a consequence of climate change will change vector behaviour and seasonality, and often this requires a longer-term assessment of risk. For example, phlebotomine sand-flies are acutely responsive to temperature changes and new reports of their survival further north (i.e. north of the Mediterranean) have been reported in Germany.⁵⁸ *Phlebotomus* sand-flies are vectors of canine and human leishmaniasis, and there is a genuine concern that travelling dogs that are returning to the UK with *Leishmania* parasites could create a reservoir of infection which in the event of sand-flies establishing could lead to local cycles.⁵⁹ In the absence of current surveillance for sand-flies, MEZE are working with expert dipterists to develop an early warning system.

Mosquitoes use temperature as a seasonal cue, and along with photoperiodic cues, these have been used to model their seasonal activity. For temperate strains of exotic species, like *Aedes albopictus*, models, developed by MEZE and others, have assessed areas for establishment and seasonal activity in the event of an importation,⁶⁰⁻⁶¹ thus providing an insight into possible areas for nuisance biting and to target surveillance.

Changes in climate also affect the seasonality and/or distribution of ticks, with evidence of a gradual northerly spread of *I. ricinus* in response to consecutive mild winters in Scandinavia.⁶² For *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*, it has even been suggested that host preference is altered in response to temperature, with the affinity of immature *R. sanguineus* for humans apparently increased during short periods of warmer weather (>25°C) in France.⁶³ Given the recent discussion over permitting dogs with tick treatment to travel to the UK, the influence of climate is significant when advising on the potential for establishment of *R. sanguineus* in the UK.⁶⁴

Effects of temperature on extrinsic incubation of pathogens

Many arthropod-borne pathogens undergo a period of development through the vector, known as the extrinsic incubation period. This period, particularly for parasites like *Plasmodium* and *Dirofilaria*, is temperature dependent, with parasite development enhanced above a set temperature threshold. Laboratory and field studies on these two parasites in the USA on *Dirofilaria*⁶⁵⁻⁶⁸ and in Russia on *Plasmodium*⁶⁹ have aided MEZE and others in our predictions of the likely period of incubation of their larval stages through a

hypothetical mosquito.⁷⁰⁻⁷² This enables us to predict currently (i.e. based on current weather patterns) how many days the development of the parasite through to the infective third larval stage might be permissible. This information is making us re-assess our opinions on whether northern Europe is too cold to prevent or limit transmission of seemingly tropical or Mediterranean pathogens. However, other factors like availability of infected hosts, abundance of receptive vectors and the impact of treatments, need to be considered.

Effects of sequential climate events on reservoir host dynamics

Studies on the impact of weather patterns on non-arthropod wildlife zoonotic infections, for example those caused by Puumala virus, have shown that interpreting sequential climate events can allow prediction to be made about changes in the abundance of reservoir hosts (i.e. *Myodes glareolus* voles)⁷³ and outbreaks of human disease (e.g. *Nephropathia epidemica*).⁷⁴⁻⁷⁵ The essence of this approach taken by MEZE is to predict the peak years in tree nut production which drive the peaks of vole cycles. In the case of beech (*Fagus sylvatica*), a sequence of climate events over 2-3 years stimulates a synchronous increase in seed/nut production; known as a 'masting event'. The vole population consequently peaks the following year and stimulates an increased circulation of virus which leads to outbreaks of human disease. Equally this has implications for other pathogens like *B. burgdorferi* that circulates in rodent populations.

Societal change masking climate change

These relatively simple uses of weather and climate data are providing important insights into the effects of climate change and possible changes in disease risk. However, climate should not be taken in isolation. Recent studies on TBEV in eastern Europe have shown that climate changes may be masking underlying societal changes, suggesting that economic- and climate-influenced factors are combining to impact on the transmission of TBEV. For example in the Baltic states there has been an increase in outdoor activities as a consequence of increased wealth since the decline of Communism; a decline in industry has changed the amount of local solar radiation, adapting the local climate, thus favouring co-incident seasonality of tick stages, thus increasing co-feeding transmission, and hence increased exposure to virus.⁷⁶⁻⁷⁹ Indeed the concept of co-feeding of immature *I. ricinus* in determining TBEV-endemic foci in central Europe was one of the first studies to illustrate the complexities of tick seasonality and behaviour of disease transmission cycles.⁸⁰⁻⁸¹

Human impacts – trade and travel

The impact of global trade and human travel on vector-borne disease is well established. The best examples are the worldwide spread of exotic mosquitoes on used tyres (e.g. *Aedes albopictus*, *Ochlerotatus japonicus*, *Aedes aegypti*), and the importation of CHIKV by a human traveller from India to Italy.⁸² Movement of animals and potentially infected or infested animals often goes unnoticed and can have significant implications. There is still much debate about causes of the emergence of WNV in North America with introduction of the virus via imported infected birds being one theory.⁸³⁻⁸⁴ The involvement of livestock movement in pathogen spread was suggested as one of the factors involved in the emergence of RVFV in Saudi Arabia; imported from Africa.⁸⁵

A recent example studied by MEZE has identified a different implication to the movement of wildlife zoonoses through pet travel. There is currently much debate in the UK over the relaxation of tapeworm control in dogs returning to the UK from continental Europe.⁸⁶⁻⁸⁸ Current controls are in place to maintain the UK free of *Echinococcus multilocularis*,⁸⁹ which is an emerging urban zoonosis in continental Europe⁹⁰ exacerbated by the

urban fox population.⁹¹ This parasite occurs within enzootic cycles between voles (including the water vole, *Arvicola terrestris*) and the fox (*Vulpes vulpes*). A recent assessment by MEZE⁹² has highlighted that in addition to the public health issues, the importation of this parasite by dogs into the UK could undermine current UK wildlife policy⁹³ and legislation⁹⁴ which promotes the protection of water voles and enhances both their habitats and the grassland habitats of another potential reservoir host, the field vole (*Microtus agrestis*). Coupled with an established urban fox population there is the prospect that an inadvertent importation of this parasite on health risk assessment grounds could conflict with environmental impact assessment, thus undermining green initiatives and establishing enzootic cycles that would be difficult to eradicate. MEZE findings⁹² also question whether such an act could be at odds with EU legislation⁹⁵⁻⁹⁶ on non-native species and their effect on native fauna.

Multiple drivers for emergence

Although not discussed in depth here, it is now recognised that viral mutation and a change in vector specificity was an important driver in the large-scale epidemics of CHIKV in the Indian Ocean region.⁹⁷ The subsequent outbreak of CHIKV in Italy further illustrated how a combination of factors such as global trade in tyres and global travel of infected individuals can act in synergy to drive the emergence of an exotic virus vectored by an exotic mosquito.

Current unpublished MEZE work in Kosova is illustrating that multiple drivers may be driving cycles of CCHFV and *Hyalomma* ticks. It appears that a combination of the decline in agriculture (hence the encroachment of scrub and grassland close to the village fringe), the dramatic increase in reservoir host numbers as a possible consequence of predator decline, coupled with the importation of virus-naïve livestock (following the recent conflict) could all be contributory factors to increasing the abundance and public exposure of *Hyalomma* and enhancing the circulation of CCHFV in ticks in parts of rural Kosova.

Possible driving forces for future change in VBZ in the UK

A key component of 'horizon scanning' is looking for future issues. Arthropod disease vectors such as mosquitoes and ticks are, like all invertebrates, acutely responsive to changes in their environment, with an ability to rapidly evolve to local climate. There has been much discussion over the role of weather and climate on infectious diseases, with some models able to predict future epidemics and new foci. Notable examples for RVFV,⁹⁸ meningitis,⁹⁹ malaria¹⁰⁰ and TBEV¹⁰¹ have included environmental parameters such as rainfall, wind direction, temperature and seasonal climate. Much less discussion has focussed on non-climate related environmental changes, or environmental changes as a consequence of adaptation to climate change. Some examples from a UK context are briefly discussed here.

Biodiversity-enriching strategies are likely to have some impact on vector survival, activity and spread. Recent UK biodiversity initiatives promote habitat connectivity by encouraging wildlife corridors on a national¹⁰²⁻¹⁰³ and local¹⁰⁴ scale. These strategies aim to de-fragment habitats, allowing more vulnerable species of nature conservation concern to move between habitats and hence respond to climatic changes. Often this landscape ecology approach encourages the parcelling of the landscape into habitat patches that form mosaics of biotypes linked by an ecological matrix connecting the fragments of species-rich habitats.

It is not known what effect this will have on disease vectors. Undoubtedly it provides a more robust network for movement of vector hosts (e.g. deer, small mammals) and an increase of ecotonal habitat (i.e. habitat

at the interface of two habitats, e.g. grassland and woodland) so often favoured by *I. ricinus* ticks and their hosts (e.g. pheasant). Some authors argue that habitat de-fragmentation can increase the transmission of zoonotic and anthroponotic diseases by bringing humans, livestock, wildlife and their pathogens ever closer together.¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁷ MEZE studies have shown that the spatial heterogeneity of ticks within a varied landscape is predictable,¹⁰⁸ and more recent MEZE work,¹⁰⁹ looking at the impact of woodland ride management on *I. ricinus*, has found that hot-spots of questing tick activity are predictable within lowland woodlands and that ride and bracken management can be planned to mitigate the public's exposure to ticks and limit the tick's survival in the mat layer.

In the UK, part of enhancing habitat connectivity has involved paying environmental subsidies to encourage farmers to create field margins and re-instate hedgerows, ponds and ditches.¹¹⁰ Furthermore, on the fragments of relict calcareous grassland, that remain amongst the farmland, traditional grazing strategies are being re-established to ensure that a diverse sward creates a diverse flora supporting specialist invertebrates. There is little known about the impact of these strategies on tick survival and movement. Ongoing MEZE work suggests that field margins can act as *I. ricinus* habitats, and there are suggestions (anecdotal and published) that the movement of livestock can lead to the establishment of ticks in new geographical foci.¹¹¹

Allied to terrestrial UK initiatives are nationwide plans to return large parts of the UK's drained agricultural land to wetland, fen and salt-marsh (e.g. UK Wetland Vision).¹¹² This is likely to have huge biodiversity benefits by making the wildlife of existing wetlands more sustainable, increasing the general available resource for wildlife as well as providing better management of flood waters in low lying areas, river valleys and flood alleviation schemes in urban areas. It would seem prudent therefore that habitat creation plans include a health risk assessment component to the management plan, focussing on the possible effects on mosquito habitats and nuisance biting/disease transmission. MEZE are currently working on such an approach with the Great fen project; an environmental project which plans to restore >3000 hectares of arable farmland in Cambridgeshire back to fen, wetland and wet woodland. Some question whether new wetland means more mosquitoes,¹¹³ others argue that focus should be given to managing habitats for the vectors' natural predators as they may have some role in keeping vector populations at manageable levels.¹¹⁴ Wetland initiatives along the coast have other motives, such as creating salt-marshes to help defend an eroding coastline (e.g. in eastern England) or by inadvertently adapting coastal habitats to harness the power of tides, such as the Severn tidal barrage.¹¹⁵ Undoubtedly the creation of new salt-marshes could provide new habitats for our brackish water *Anopheles* sp. which lost their habitats, and the malaria they transmitted, following widescale drainage in the 19th century.¹¹⁶⁻¹¹⁹ However the arguments here for the return of malaria are more complex and have been discussed elsewhere.¹²⁰

In urban areas, changes are also occurring, whether it be an extension of urban areas into the peri-urban fringe, the re-wilding and greening of urban habitats, restoration of industrialised river corridors or wildlife gardening. MEZE have received several reports to its tick recording scheme regarding high levels of tick activity in residential gardens, mostly attributable to the encouragement of wildlife to feed and shelter close to human habitation.³⁸ Often we cannot pick and choose the wildlife we attract. Whilst we may wish to see feeding birds and browsing deer, we also have to accept that we may also attract rats and ticks.

Furthermore given the recent spread of the container habitat mosquito *Aedes albopictus* in Europe, and its involvement in CHIKV cycles^{35, 121} there is good reason to be cautious about the management of water in domestic settings. Currently water butts provide a suitable habitat for mosquito species such as *Culex pipiens* and *Culiseta annulata*, which are not currently involved as disease vectors in the UK. Following the

introduction of an exotic vector species that can exploit these habitats a re-assessment of our domestic husbandry with respect to conserving water will be required, as occurs in Italy.¹²²

In conclusion possible environmental change may have significant impacts on the future changes in VBZ. It is paramount therefore that medical entomologists and epidemiologists work with ecologists, environmental scientists and land managers to pre-empt, prepare for, and mitigate, the possible changes in VBZ through environmental management. Achieving this preparedness and establishing routes of communication take time, but it should not be left to hastily prepared responses to nuisance biting or outbreaks of disease. A balanced evidence-based opinion is required whereby public health matters are considered, but not in an alarmist way that undermines biodiversity and water management objectives. We have the opportunity as public health practitioners to work with the environment sector to ensure that an increase in biodiversity does not lead to emergence or re-emergence of VBZ.

References

1. Health Protection Agency [HPA]. *The Human Animal Infections and Risk Surveillance (HAIRS) group: First report 2004-2007*. 2008; 1-30. www.hpa.org.uk
2. Walsh AL, Morgan D. Identifying hazards, assessing the risks. *Veterinary Record* 2005; **157**: 684-687
3. Taylor LH, Latham SM, Woolhouse MEJ. Risk factors for human disease emergence. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol. Sci* 2001; **356**:983-989.
4. Simpson VR. Wild animals as reservoirs of infectious diseases in the UK. *Vet J* 2002;**163**:128-146
5. Kruse H, Kirkemo AM, Handeland K. Wildlife as a source of zoonotic infections. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2004;**10**:2067-2072
6. Mattingly PF. *The Biology of Mosquito-borne Disease. The science of Biology series No 1*. London, George Allen and Unwin Ltd, 1969
7. Kovats RS, Campbell-Lendrum DH, McMichael AJ, Woodward A, Cox JH. Early effects of climate changes: do they include changes in vector-borne disease? *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2001;**356**:1057-1068
8. Parola P, Raoult D. Tick-borne bacterial diseases emerging in Europe. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2001;**7**:80-83
9. Greger M. The human/animal interface: Emergence and resurgence of zoonotic infectious diseases. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 2007;**33**:243-299
10. Pugliese A, Beltramo T, Torre D. Emerging and re-emerging viral infections in Europe. *Cell Biochem Funct* 2007;**25**:1-13
11. Vorou RM, Papavassiliou VG, and Tsiodras S. Emerging zoonoses and vector-borne infections affecting humans in Europe. *Epidemiol Infect* 2007;**135**:1231-1247
12. Gage KL, Burkot TR, Eisen RJ, Hayes EB. Climate and vectorborne diseases. *Am J Prev Med* 2008;**35**:436-450
13. Patz JA, Olson SH, Uejio CK, Gibbs HK. Disease emergence from global climate and land use change. *Med Clin North Am* 2008;**92**:1473-1491
14. Gould EA, Higgs S. Impact of climate change and other factors on emerging arbovirus diseases. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2009;**103**:109-121
15. Romi R. History and updating on the spread of *Aedes albopictus* in Italy. *Parassitologia* 1995; **37**:99-103
16. Chomel BB, Belotto A, Meslin FX. Wildlife, exotic pets and emerging zoonoses. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2007;**13**:6-11
17. Scholte EJ, Jacobs F, Linton YM, Dijkstra E, Fransen J, Takken W. First record of *Aedes (Stegomyia) albopictus* in the Netherlands. *Euro Mosq Bull* 2007; **22**:5-9
18. Enserink M. A mosquito goes global. *Science* 2008; **320**:864-866
19. Pluskota B, Storch V, Braunbeck T, Beck M, Becker N. First record of *Stegomyia albopicta* (Skuse) in Germany. *Euro Mosq Bull* 2008; **26**:1-5
20. Purse BV, Brown HE, Harrup L, Mertens PPC, Rogers DJ. Invasion of bluetongue and other orbivirus infections into Europe; the role of biological and climatic processes. *Rev Sci Tech* 2008;**27**:427-442
21. Eritja R, Escosa R, Lucientes J, Marques E, Molina R, Roiz D, *et al*. Worldwide invasion of vector mosquitoes: present European distribution and challenges for Spain. *Biol Invasions* 2005;**7**:87-97
22. Chretien J-P, Linthicum KJ. Chikungunya in Europe; what's next? *Lancet* 2007;**370**:1805-1806

23. Pavan WO. Chikununya - Italy (Emilia Romagna): confirmed. ProMed. 3 Sep 2007 [cited 2009 Feb 12] archive no. 20070903.2899. Available from URL <http://www.promedmail.org>
24. Karti SS, Odabasi Z, Korten V, Yilmaz M, Sonmez M, Caylan R. Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever in Turkey. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2004;**10**:1379-1384
25. Papa A, Maltezou HC, Tsiodras S, Dalla VG, Papadimitriou T, Pierrotsakos I, *et al.* A case of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever in Greece, June 2008. *Eurosurveill* 2008;**13**:7-9
26. Haglund M. Occurrence of TBE in areas previously considered being non-endemic. *Int J Med Microbiol* 2002;**291**(Suppl 33):50-54
27. Weissenböck H, Kolodziejek J, Url A, Lussy H, Rebel-Bauder B, and Nowotny N. Emergence of Usutu virus, an African mosquito-borne *flavivirus* of the Japanese encephalitis virus group, Central Europe. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2002;**8**:652-656
28. Bakonyi T, Erdélyi K, Ursu K, Ferenczi E, Csörgő T, Lussy H, *et al.* Emergence of Usutu virus in Hungary. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007;**45**:3870-3874
29. Busquets N, Alba A, Allepuz A, Aranda C, Núñez JI. Usutu virus sequences in *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae), Spain. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2008;**14**:861-863
30. Giudice PD, Schuffenecker I, Vandebos F, Counillon E, Zeller H. Human West Nile virus, France. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2004;**10**:1885-1886
31. Krisztalovics K, Molnár Z, Csohán Á, Bán E, Zöldi V, Kaszás K. West Nile virus infections in Hungary, August-September 2008. *Eurosurveill* 2008;**13**(45)
32. Hubalek Z, Halouzka J. West Nile fever- a reemerging mosquito-borne viral disease in Europe. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1999;**5**:643-650
33. Savini G, Monaco F, Calistri P, and Lelli R. Phylogenetic analysis of West Nile virus isolated in Italy in 2008. *Eurosurveill* 2008;**13**(48)
34. Smith R, Takkinen J, Editorial team. Lyme borreliosis: Europe-wide coordinated surveillance and action needed? *Eurosurveill* 2006;**11**:2977
35. Schaffner F, Hendrickx G, Scholte EJ, Medlock JM, Angelini P, Ducheyne E. Development of *Aedes albopictus* risk maps. TigerMaps project report. 2009; Stockholm: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control
36. Biological Records Centre [Online]. 2009 [cited 2009 November 27]. Available from: www.brc.ac.uk
37. Pietzsch ME, Medlock JM, Jones L, Avenell D, Abbott J, Harding P, Leach S. Distribution of *Ixodes ricinus* in the British Isles: investigation of historical records. *Med Vet Entomol* 2005;**19**:306-314
38. Jameson LJ, Medlock JM. Spatial distribution of tick species parasitizing humans and animals in Great Britain, 2005-2008. In preparation
39. Medlock JM, Snow KR. British mosquitoes. *British Wildlife* 2008;**19**:338-346
40. Higgs S, Snow KR, Gould E. The potential for West Nile virus to establish outside of its natural range: a consideration of potential mosquito vectors in the United Kingdom. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2004;**98**:82-87
41. Cranston PS, Ramsdale CD, Snow KR, White GB. Adults, larvae and pupae of British mosquitoes (Culicidae). Scientific Publication, no. 48. Freshwater Biological Association, Ambleside, Cumbria. 1987
42. Fonseca, DM, Keyghobadi N, Malcolm CA, *et al.* Emerging vectors in the *Culex pipiens* complex. *Science* 2004;**303**:1535-1538

43. Medlock JM, Snow KR, Leach S. Potential transmission of West Nile virus in the British Isles: an ecological review of candidate mosquito bridge vectors. *Med Vet Entomol* 2005;**19**:2-21
44. Snow KR, Rees AT, Bulbeck SJ. A Provisional Atlas of the Mosquitoes of Britain. University of East London: London; 1998
45. Snow KR, Ramsdale CD. Distribution chart for European mosquitoes. *Euro Mosq Bull* 1999;**3**:14-31
46. Snow KR, Medlock JM. The mosquitoes of Epping Forest, Essex, UK. *Euro Mosq Bull* 2008;**26**:9-17
47. Romi R, Pontuale G, Ciufolini MG, Fiorentini G, Marchi A, Nicoletti L *et al.* Potential vectors of West Nile virus following an equine disease outbreak in Italy. *Med Vet Entomol* 2004;**18**:14-19
48. Medlock JM, Snow KR, Leach S. Possible ecology and epidemiology of medically important mosquito-borne arboviruses in Great Britain. *Epidemiol Infect* 2007;**135**:466-482
49. Moutailler S, Krida G, Schaffner F, Vazeille M, Failloux A. Potential vectors of Rift Valley fever virus in the Mediterranean Region. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 2008;**8**:749-753
50. Lundstrom JO. Mosquito-borne viruses in Western Europe: a review. *J Vector Ecol* 1999;**24**:1-39
51. Brummer-Korvenkontio M, Vapalahti O, Kuusisto P, Saikku P, Manni T, Koskela P, *et al.* Epidemiology of Sindbis virus infections in Finland 1981-96: possible factors explaining a peculiar disease pattern. *Epidemiol Infect* 2002;**129**:335-345
52. Francy DB, Jaenson TG, Lundström JO, Schildt EB, Espmark A, Henriksson B, *et al.* Ecologic studies of mosquitoes and birds as hosts of Ockelbo virus in Sweden and isolation of Inkoo and Batai viruses from mosquitoes. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1989;**41**:355-363
53. Lundstrom JO, Niklasson B, Francy DB. Swedish *Culex torrentium* and *Cx. pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae) as experimental vectors of Ockelbo virus. *J Med Entomol* 1990;**27**:561-563
54. Turell MJ, Lundstrom JO, Niklasson B. Transmission of Ockelbo virus by *Aedes cinereus*, *Ae. communis* and *Ae. excrucians* (Diptera: Culicidae) collected in an enzootic area in central Sweden. *J Med Entomol* 1990;**27**:266-268
55. Lundstrom JO, Turell MJ, Niklasson B. Antibodies to Ockelbo virus in three orders of birds (Anseriformes, Galliformes and Passeriformes) in Sweden. *J Wildl Dis* 1992;**28**:144-147
56. Buckley A, Dawson A, Moss SR, Hinsley SA, Bellamy PE, Gould EA. Serological evidence of West Nile virus, Usutu virus and Sindbis virus infection of birds in the UK. *J Gen Virol* 2003;**84**:2819-2828
57. Lundstrom JO, Lindström KM, Olsen B, Dufva R, Krakower DS. Prevalence of Sindbis virus neutralizing antibodies among Swedish passerines indicates that thrushes are the main amplifying hosts. *J Med Entomol* 2001;**38**:289-297
58. Naucke TJ, Pesson B. Presence of *Phlebotomus mascittii* in Germany. *Parasitol Res* 2000;**86**:335-336
59. Shaw SE, Langton DA, Hillman TJ. Letter- canine leishmaniasis in the UK. *Vet Rec* 2008;**163**:253-254
60. Medlock JM, Avenell D, Barrass I, Leach S. Analysis of the potential for survival and seasonal activity of *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) in the United Kingdom. *J Vector Ecol* 2006;**31**:292-304
61. Takumi K, Scholte E-J, Braks M, Reusken C, Avenell D & Medlock JM. Introduction, establishment and seasonal activity of *Aedes albopictus* in the Netherlands. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 2008 Oct 30 [Epub ahead of print].
62. Lindgren E, Tälleklint L, Polfeldt T. Impact of climate change on the northern latitude limit and population density of the disease-transmitting European tick *Ixodes ricinus*. *Environ Health Perspect* 2000;**108**:119-123

63. Parola P, Socolovschi C, Jeanjean L, Bitam I, Fournier P, Sotito A, Labauge P, *et al.* Warmer weather linked to tick attack and emergence of severe rickettsioses. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2008;**2**:e338
64. Jameson LJ, Phipps LP, Rankin MR, Leach S, Medlock JM. Surveillance for exotic ticks on companion animals in the United Kingdom. Submitted for publication
65. Fortin JF, Slocombe JOD. Temperature requirements for the development of *Dirofilaria immitis* in *Aedes triseriatus* and *Ae. vexans*. *Mosq News* 1981;**41**:625–633
66. Knight DH, Lok JB. Seasonality of heartworm infection and implications for chemoprophylaxis. *Clin Tech Small Anim Prac* 1998;**13**:77–82
67. Lok JB, Knight DH. Laboratory verification of a seasonal heartworm model. Proceedings of the Heartworm Advances in Heartworm Disease Symposium 1998. American Heartworm Society, Batavia IL: 1998; 15–20
68. Slocombe JOD, Surgeoner GA, Srivastava B. Determination of heartworm transmission period and its use in diagnosis and control. Proceedings of the Heartworm Symposium 1989. American Heartworm Society, Washington D.C. 1989;19-26
69. Detinova T. Age-grouping methods in Diptera of medical importance. Monograph Series. *World Health Organisation*. Geneva.1962;13
70. Genchi C, Rinaldi L, Cascone C, Mortarino M, Cringoli G. Is heartworm disease really spreading in Europe? *Vet Parasitol* 2005;**133**:137–148
71. Svobodova, V, Misonova, P. The potential risk of *Dirofilaria immitis* becoming established in the Czech Republic by imported dogs. *Vet Parasitol* 2005;**128**:137–140
72. Medlock JM, Barrass I, Kerrod E, Taylor MA, Leach S. Analysis of climatic predictions for extrinsic incubation of *Dirofilaria* nematodes in the UK. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 2007;**7**: 4-14
73. Bennett E, Clement J, Sansom P, Hall I, Leach S & Medlock JM. Consideration of potential environmental and ecological constraints on possible enzootic cycles of Puumala virus in Great Britain. In prep
74. Clement J, Vercauteren J, Verstraeten WW, Ducoffre G, Barrios JM, Vandamme A-M, *et al.* Relating increasing hantavirus incidences to the changing climate: the mast connection. *Int J Health Geogr* 2009;**8**:1
75. Tersago K, Verhagen R, Servais A, Heyman P, Ducoffre G, Leirs H. Hantavirus disease (nephropathia epidemica) in Belgium: effects of tree seed production and climate. *Epidemiol Infect* 2009 Feb;**137**:250-6
76. Sumilo D, Bormane A, Asokliene L, Lucenko I, Vasilenko V, Randolph S. Tick-borne encephalitis in the Baltic States: identifying risk factors in space and time. *Int J Med Microbiol* 2006;**296**(Suppl 40):76-9
77. Sumilo D, Asokliene L, Bormane A, Vasilenko V, Golovljova I, Randolph SE. Climate change cannot explain the upsurge of tick-borne encephalitis in the Baltics. *PLoS ONE* 2007;**2**:e500
78. Sumilo D, Bormane A, Asokliene L, Vasilenko V, Golovljova I, Avsic-Zupanc T, *et al.* Socio-economic factors in the differential upsurge of tick-borne encephalitis in Central and Eastern Europe. *Rev Med Virol* 2008;**18**:81-95
79. Sumilo D, Bormane A, Vasilenko V, Golovljova I, Asokliene L, Zygtiene M, *et al.* Upsurge of tick-borne encephalitis in the Baltic States at the time of political transition, independent of changes in public health practices. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2009;**15**:75-80

80. Labuda M, Nuttall PA, Kozuch O, Eleckova E, Williams T, Zuffova E, *et al.* Non-viraemic transmission of tick-borne encephalitis virus: a mechanism for arbovirus survival in nature. *Experientia* 1993;**49**:802-805
81. Labuda M, Jones LD, Williams T, Danielova V, Nuttall PA. Efficient transmission of tick-borne encephalitis virus between co-feeding ticks. *J Med Entomol* 1993;**30**:295-299
82. Angelini R, Finarelli AC, Angelini P, Po C, Petropulacos K, Macini P, *et al.* An outbreak of chikungunya fever in the province of Ravenna, Italy. *Euro Surveill* 2007;**12**, 06 Sept
83. Rappole JH, Derrickson SR, Hubalek Z. Migratory birds and spread of West Nile virus in the western hemisphere. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2000;**6**:319-328
84. Reed KD, Meece JK, Henkel JS, Shukla SK. Birds, migration and emerging zoonoses: West Nile virus, Lyme disease, Influenza A and Enteropathogens. *Clin Med Res* 2003;**1**:5-12
85. Gerdes GH. Rift Valley fever. *Rev Sci Tech* 2004;**23**:613-623
86. Comment: Pet travel: DEFRA considers relaxing the rules. *Vet Rec* 2007;**32**:534-535
87. Comment: PETS under pressure. *Vet Rec* 2008;**162**:425
88. Trees AJ. Disease threats from travelling pets *Vet Rec* 2009;**164**:28-29
89. Smith GC, Gangadharan B, Taylor Z, Laurenson MK, Bradshaw H, Hide G, *et al.* Prevalence of zoonotic important parasites in the red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) in Great Britain. *Vet Parasitol* 2003;**118**:133-142
90. Eckert J & Deplazes P. Alveolar Echinococcosis in Humans: The current situation in central Europe and the need for countermeasures. *Parasitol Today* 1999;**15**:315-319
91. Deplazes P, Hegglin D, Gloor S, Romig T. Wilderness in the city: the urbanisation of *Echinococcus multilocularis*. *Trends Parasitol* 2004;**20**:77-84
92. Medlock JM, Leach S. *Echinococcus multilocularis* and possible cycles in UK wildlife. *Veterinary Record* **164**: 789-790
93. Planning and Policy Statement 9 (2005): Biodiversity and Geological Conservation 2005 [cited 2009 Mar 11]: Available from URL <http://www.communities.gov.uk/publications/planningandbuilding/pps9>
94. Defra. Extra protection for the water vole [Online]. 2008 [cited 2009 March 11]. Available from: <http://www.defra.gov.uk/news/2008/080226a.htm>
95. EU Habitats Directive (1992) [cited Mar 11]: Available from URL http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/habitatsdirective/index_en.htm
96. Convention on Conservation of European Wildlife and Habitats [cited Mar 11]: Available at URL http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/cultureheritage/conventions/bern/default_en.asp
97. Tsetsarkin AK, Vanlandingham DL, McGee CE, Higgs S. A single mutation in chikungunya virus affects vector specificity and epidemic potential. *PLoS Pathogens* 2007; **3** 1895-1906
98. Bicout DJ, Sabatier P. Mapping Rift Valley fever vectors and prevalence using rainfall variations. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 2004;**4**:33-42
99. Thomson MC, Molesworth AM, Djingarey MH, Yameogo KR, Belanger F, Cuevas LE. Potential of environmental models to predict meningitis epidemics in Africa. *Trop Med Int Health* 2006;**11**:781-788
100. Thomson MC, Doblaz-Reyes FJ, Mason SJ, Hagedorn R, Connor SJ, Phindela T, *et al.* Malaria early warnings based on seasonally climate forecasts from multi-model ensembles. *Nature* 2006;**439**:576-579
101. Randolph SE. The shifting landscape of tick-borne zoonoses: tick-borne encephalitis and Lyme borreliosis in Europe. *Phil Trans R Soc B* **356**: 1045-1056

102. Heller NE, Zavaleta ES. Biodiversity management in the face of climate change: A review of 22 years of recommendations. *Biol Conserv* 2009;**142**:14-32
103. Wildlife Trusts: A Living Landscape [cited Mar 15]: Available at URL <http://www.wildlifetrusts.org/?section=environment:livinglandscapes>
104. Natural England: Environmental Stewardship [cited Mar 15]: Available at URL <http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/ourwork/farming/funding/es/default.aspx>
105. Despommier D, Ellis BR, Wilcox BA. The role of ecotones in emerging infectious diseases. *EcoHealth* 2007;**3**:281-289
106. Woodford MH. Veterinary aspects of ecological monitoring: the natural history of emerging infectious diseases of human, domestic animals and wildlife. *Trop Anim Health Prod* 2008:DOI 10.1007/s11250-008-9269-4
107. Merckx T, Feber RE, Riordan P, Townsend MC, Bourn NAD, Parsons MS, *et al.* Optimizing the biodiversity gain from agri-environment schemes. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 2009;**130**:177-182
108. Medlock JM, Pietzsch ME, Rice NV, Jones L, Kerrod E, Avenell D, *et al.* Investigation of ecological and environmental determinants for the presence of questing *Ixodes ricinus* on Gower, South Wales. *J Med Entomol* 2008;**45**:314-25
109. Medlock JM. Spatial distribution of questing deer ticks, *Ixodes ricinus*, along woodland rides and glades in south Wiltshire: implications for woodland management and public exposure. University of Bristol MSc dissertation 2009; 1-85
110. Radley G, O'Reilly J, Jowitt A. Environmental Stewardship *British Wildlife* 2005;**16**:257-263
111. Jameson LJ, Medlock JM. Results of HPA tick surveillance in Great Britain. *Veterinary Record* 2009; **165**: 154
112. Wetland Vision Project. Accessed online 1st March 2009. Available from URL: <http://www.wetlandvision.org.uk>
113. Fuller M. Allaying public fears of health issues on wetlands. *British Wildlife* 2005;**16**:332-338
114. Medlock JM, Snow KR. Natural predators and parasites of British mosquitoes – a review. *Eur Mosq Bull* 2008;**25**:1-11
115. SDC. Tidal Power in the UK. Research report 3; 2007: Severn Barrage Proposals
116. Snow K. Malaria and mosquitoes in Britain: the effect of global climate change. *Euro Mosq Bull* 1999;**4**:17-24
117. Kuhn KG, Campbell-Lendrum DH, Armstrong B, Davies CR. Malaria in Britain: Past, present, and future. *PNAS* 2003;**100**:9997-10001
118. Ramsdale CD, Gunn N. History and prospects for mosquito-borne disease in Britain. *Euro Mosq Bull* 2005;**20**:15-30
119. Snow KR, Medlock JM. The potential impact of climate change on the distribution and prevalence of mosquitoes in Britain. *Euro Mosq Bull* 2006;**21**:1-10
120. Lindsay SW, Willis SG. Predicting future areas suitable for vivax malaria in the United Kingdom, commissioned as part of the UK Government's Foresight project: Infectious Diseases: preparing for the future. Foresight Directorate, Office of Science and Innovation, UK, 2006; 1-15
121. Chretien J-P, Anyamba A, Bedno SA, Breiman RF, Sang R, Serگون K, *et al.* Drought-associated chikungunya emergence along coastal East Africa. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2007;**76**:405-407
122. ECDC Mission Report 2007. Chikungunya in Italy: joint ECDC/WHO visit for a European risk assessment. 2007